

A numerical proximity between Earth’s dimensionless surface potential and a Planck–horizon scale ratio

Kent Nimmo ¹

¹*Independent Researcher, Vancouver, Canada*

ABSTRACT

I report a numerical proximity between Earth’s dimensionless surface potential $\Phi_{\oplus} \equiv GM_{\oplus}/(c^2 R_{\oplus})$ and a dimensionless scale ratio constructed from three length scales: Earth’s radius R_{\oplus} , a present-epoch cosmic horizon scale R_{obs} , and a mesoscopic length L_{GM} defined as the geometric mean between the Planck length ℓ_{P} and the horizon diameter $D_{\text{obs}} \equiv 2R_{\text{obs}}$. The ratio $\chi \equiv R_{\oplus}^2/(L_{\text{GM}}R_{\text{obs}})$ evaluates to $\chi \approx 7.61 \times 10^{-10}$, compared with $\Phi_{\oplus} \approx 6.96 \times 10^{-10}$, a $\sim 9.4\%$ discrepancy. I provide a transparent numerical evaluation and document sensitivity to standard definitional choices. No physical mechanism is proposed.

INTRODUCTION

Dimensionless ratios are routinely used to characterize the “strength” of gravity. A common example is the dimensionless surface potential of a gravitating body, $\Phi \equiv GM/(c^2 R)$. In this note, I record a numerical proximity between Earth’s surface potential Φ_{\oplus} and a dimensionless scale ratio built from three length scales: (i) Earth’s radius R_{\oplus} , (ii) a cosmic horizon length R_{obs} , and (iii) a mesoscopic length L_{GM} defined as the geometric mean between the Planck length ℓ_{P} and the cosmic horizon diameter D_{obs} :

$$L_{\text{GM}} \equiv \sqrt{\ell_{\text{P}} D_{\text{obs}}}, \quad D_{\text{obs}} = 2R_{\text{obs}}. \quad (1)$$

The dimensionless quantity of interest is:

$$\chi \equiv \frac{R_{\oplus}^2}{L_{\text{GM}} R_{\text{obs}}}. \quad (2)$$

EVALUATION

I use the exact speed of light $c \equiv 299\,792\,458 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and the Planck length $\ell_{\text{P}} \equiv 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$ (Mohr et al. 2025). For the cosmic scale, I adopt the present-day particle horizon $R_{\text{obs}} \approx 47 \text{ Gly}$ ($\approx 4.45 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}$) (NASA 2017). For Earth, I use the mean radius $R_{\oplus} \equiv 6.371 \times 10^6 \text{ m}$ and geocentric gravitational parameter $\mu_{\oplus} \equiv 3.986 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$ (Petit & Luzum 2010).

Using these baseline values, the geometric-mean length is $L_{\text{GM}} \approx 1.20 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}$. The scale ratio evaluates to:

$$\chi = \frac{(6.371 \times 10^6 \text{ m})^2}{(1.199 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m})(4.447 \times 10^{26} \text{ m})} \approx 7.61 \times 10^{-10}. \quad (3)$$

For comparison, Earth’s dimensionless surface potential is:

$$\Phi_{\oplus} = \frac{\mu_{\oplus}}{c^2 R_{\oplus}} \approx 6.96 \times 10^{-10}. \quad (4)$$

The fractional discrepancy is $\Delta = (\chi - \Phi_{\oplus})/\Phi_{\oplus} \approx 9.4\%$.

SENSITIVITY

Table 1. Sensitivity of χ to the choice of cosmic scale (R_{\oplus} fixed).

Cosmic Scale	Value	χ	Δ
Particle Horizon (Baseline)	47 Gly	7.61×10^{-10}	+9.4%
Particle Horizon (Rounded)	46.5 Gly	7.74×10^{-10}	+11.1%
Hubble Length (c/H_0)	14.5 Gly	4.44×10^{-9}	+538%

The proximity relies on identifying the “observable universe” scale with the particle horizon. Table 1 demonstrates that using the Hubble length (c/H_0) instead breaks the relationship. Variations in Earth radius conventions (e.g., WGS-84 polar vs. equatorial) affect the result by $< 1\%$.

This note reports the geometric proximity only and does not propose a physical mechanism. The specific reproduction script (`calc_proximity.py`) is available within the project repository (Nimmo 2025).

- ¹ This work is part of the Absolute Relativity Project. Project Identifiers: ARafKuCqRgszXZWjYGWyBT7GnLZkyiaXQd1YjXC1x224
- ² and 0xAacCd7bA616405C184335F193fEf080fC982921F.

REFERENCES

- | | |
|--|--|
| Mohr, P. J., Newell, D. B., Taylor, B. N., & Tiesinga, E.
2025, Rev. Mod. Phys., 97, 025002 | Petit, G., & Luzum, B. 2010, IERS Technical Note 36 |
| NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. 2017, The Universe:
Age & Size, https://cosmictimes.gsfc.nasa.gov/universe/ | Nimmo, K. 2025, Zenodo,
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17940462 |